IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, AT-BILASPUR (C.G.)

W.P.No. 3116 of 2003

BETWEEN

PETITIONER : PHAGU LAL SULAKHE (P.L.SULAKHE)
AGED-46 YEARS
SON OF LATE SHRI FATTUJI SULAKHE
POSTED AS SUB ENGINEER
AT-O/O SUB DIVISION, PUBLIC HEALTH
ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT, RAJNANDGAON
R/o JAL TARANG PARISAR, KAMLA
COLLEGE ROAD,
RAJNANDGAON (C.G.)

P.R. No. 2696/03
Presented by Shri R.S. Patel
dated 26.09.03

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS : 1. STATE OF CHHATTISGARH
THROUGH -THE SECRETARY,
PUBLIC HEALTH ENGINEERING
DEPARTMENT, MANTRALAYA,
D.K.S.BHAWAN, RAIPUR

2. ENGINEER-IN-CHIEF,
PUBLIC HEALTH ENGINEERING
DEPARTMENT,
RAIPUR, CHHATTISHGARH

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE -226 AND 227 OF CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN NATURE OF MADAMUS, PROHIBITION OR SUITABLE WRIT OR WRITS, DIRECTION OR DIRECTIONS, ORDER OR ORDERS.

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

42

आदेश पत्रक

W.P.No. 3116/03

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

14.11.2003

Petitioner by Shri R. S Patel, Advocate.

State by Shri Ranbir Singh, Govt. Advocate.

Heard.

The petitioner has preferred this petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India. The main grievance of the petitioner is that he is not being considered for promotion from the post of Sub-Engineer to the post of Engineer. The petitioner was appointed as Sub-Engineer in the Public Health and Engineering Department on 24.11.1981. At present he is working as Assistant Engineer Current Charge of sub-division at P.H.E. Rajnandgaon. The petitioner was selected for the post of Sub-Engineer on the basis of Diploma in Civil Engineering. While in service the petitioner had obtained a Civil Engineering Degree in the year 1988. As per the service rules a sub-engineer having Civil Engineer Degree is entitled for promotion to the higher post in a separate category as Degree Holder Engineer after completion of 8 years of service but the petitioner's case is not being considered for promotion for which he made a representation on 9.7.2002 to the concerned Minister but even then the case of the petitioner has not been considered.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner may be allowed to make a detailed representation and the respondents may be directed to consider and decide the same, for which learned Govt. Advocate has no objection.

[पीछे देखिये

XI-HC-22

(43)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक 3116/03 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation to the Respondent No.1 Secretary to the Govt. who in turn will decide the representation within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of the representation in an objective manner considering all the grievances of the petitioner by passing a reasoned order. With the above observations this petition is disposed of finally. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order. Sd/- L.C.BHADOO Judge	

[पीछे देखिये